Multi-objective topology optimisation for acoustic porous materials using gradient-based, gradient-free and hybrid strategies

Vivek T. Ramamoorthy,^{1, a)} Ender Özcan,^{1, b} Andrew J. Parkes,^{1, c} Luc Jaouen,^{2, d} and François-Xavier Bécot^{2, e}

¹Computational Optimisation and Learning Lab, School of Computer Science, University of Nottingham, NG8 1BB, United Kingdom

²Matelys-Research Lab, 7 Rue des Maraîchers, Vaulx-en-Velin, 69120, France

When designing passive sound-attenuation structures, one of the challenging problems that arise is optimally distributing acoustic porous materials within a design region so as to maximise sound absorption while minimising material usage. To identify efficient optimisation strategies for this multi-objective problem, we compare several gradient, non-gradient and hybrid strategies. For gradient approaches, the solid-isotropic-material-with-penalisation method (SIMP) and a novel gradient-based constructive heuristic (CHg) are considered. For gradientfree approaches, hill climbing with a weighted-sum scalarisation (HC) and a non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm II (NSGA-II) are considered. Optimisation trials are conducted on seven benchmark problems involving rectangular design domains in impedance tubes subject to normal-incidence sound loads. The results indicate that while gradient methods can provide quick convergence with high-quality solutions, often gradient-free strategies are able to find improvements in specific regions of the Pareto front. Two novel hybrid approaches (HA1 and HA2) are proposed combining a gradient method (CHg) for initiation and a non-gradient method (respectively HC and NSGA-II) for local improvements. A novel and effective Pareto-slope-based weighted-sum hill climbing is introduced for local improvement. Results reveal that for a given computational budget, the hybrid methods can consistently outperform the parent gradient or non-gradient methods.

Keywords: 1 ² ogy optimisation; sound absorption; multi-³ objective optimisation;

4 I. INTRODUCTION

Acoustic porous materials such as foams or fi-⁶ brous materials are widely used for passive noise 7 control in automotive, aerospace and construc-⁸ tion industries. While these materials generally ⁹ exhibit sound absorption across wide frequency ¹⁰ bands, their low-frequency absorption performance ¹¹ is poor since the lengths of the absorber typically ¹² needed are higher for longer wavelengths¹. To al-¹³ leviate this problem, one can modify the absorber ¹⁴ shape or introduce macro-scale air cavities² to alter

 $^{a)}$ vivek.thaminniramamoorthy@nottingham.ac.uk, ORCID:0000-0002-1147-6579

Acoustic porous materials; topol- ¹⁵ the dynamic properties creating favourable reso-¹⁶ nances that improve absorption while also reducing ¹⁷ the material usage. However, optimising the size, 18 shape and placement of these air cavities or other ¹⁹ solid scattering materials³ is essentially a topol-²⁰ ogy optimisation problem⁴, which is challenging to 21 solve.

> 22 Topology optimisation is the concept of simul-²³ taneously optimising both the topology (number 24 of holes in a structure) and the shape (geome-25 try and dimensions of these holes) of mechanical ²⁶ structures so as to maximise the load-bearing ca-27 pacity with minimal material usage. It is a con-²⁸ cept first introduced by Bendsøe and Kikuchi^{5,6} ²⁹ in the 1990s and has remarkable potential bene-₃₀ fits in terms of reduced weight and costs. In the ³¹ last two decades, topology optimisation techniques 32 have been extended to automatic generation of op-³³ timised acoustic shape designs in various applica-³⁴ tions, such as horns⁷, room sound treatments⁸, $_{35}$ anechoic chamber foams^{2,9}, mufflers¹⁰⁻¹³, sound barriers $^{14-17}$, and car internal cavities 18 to name 36 37 a few. Although topology optimisation is in-38 herently a multi-objective problem i.e., simulta-³⁹ neously maximising performance and minimising 40 weight, it has been common to treat it as a

^b)ender.ozcan@nottingham.ac.uk, ORCID: 0000-0003-0276-1391

^{c)}andrew.parkes@nottingham.ac.uk, ORCID: 0000-0002-8847-1856

^d)luc.jaouen@matelys.com, ORCID: 0000-0002-3141-800X

^{e)}fxb@matelys.com, ORCID: 0000-0001-6892-8436

⁴¹ single-objective problem i.e., maximising the per- ⁹⁸ a small fraction of the publications, and compari-⁴² formance while using a constraint on the weight. ⁹⁹ son studies are rare. ⁴³ Given that one of the main benefits is the poten-¹⁰⁰ ⁴⁴ tial weight savings, it is of interest to treat it as a ¹⁰¹ sation algorithms that start from empty solutions 45 multi-objective problem and obtain multiple trade- 102 and build them step by step using specific move op-⁴⁶ off designs simultaneously. The acoustic designers ¹⁰³ erations to reach a complete solution. An example 47 can then choose from the set of Pareto optimal or 104 of a constructive heuristic for topology optimisatrade-off solutions for manufacture. 48

49 ⁵⁰ gies are being published for particular applications, ¹⁰⁷ Xie and Steven^{25,26}. For compliance minimisation, ⁵¹ there is a need for comparison studies which would ¹⁰⁸ ESO starts from a completely solid-filled design ⁵² facilitate engineers to choose effective strategies ¹⁰⁹ domain and incrementally removes material from ⁵³ for their use case. Performing such comparisons ¹¹⁰ low-stress regions. For acoustic material topology ⁵⁴ is challenging since many optimisation paradigms ¹¹¹ optimisation, Ramamoorthy et al.⁹ introduced two ⁵⁵ exist to solve topology optimisation problems that ¹¹² constructive heuristics: CH1, where the material is ⁵⁶ vary in solution representation (discrete or con-¹¹³ added incrementally to an empty domain in places ⁵⁷ tinuous), gradient usage, memory (single point ¹¹⁴ of highest absorption increase; and CH2, where the 58 or population-based), move operators, acceptance 115 material is incrementally removed from a filled do-⁵⁹ strategies etc. To ensure a fair comparison, each ¹¹⁶ main from places where the decrease in absorption ⁶⁰ algorithm needs to be applied in the best or most ¹¹⁷ is minimal. These heuristics performed among the ⁶¹ reasonable settings tuned to the problem. In this ¹¹⁸ top strategies in the study. One of the drawbacks ⁶² article, a few selected approaches that are popular ¹¹⁹ of CH1 and CH2 is that computing the numer-⁶³ and likely to be used by other researchers are tested ¹²⁰ ical absorption increments is expensive, and this

- 65 (SIMP) 66
- Constructive heuristic with gradient (CHg) 67
- Hill climbing with weighted-sum scalarisa-68 tion (HC) 69
- Non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm-II 70 (NSGA-II) 71

SIMP is the most commonly-used approach for 72 ⁷³ structural topology optimisation^{19–21}. A key at-⁷⁴ tribute of this approach is the relaxation of the discrete problem into a continuous problem by al-76 lowing intermediate materials and using a power-77 law interpolation scheme. Using continuous relax-78 ation allows the possibility of computing the gra-79 dients quickly using adjoint-like methods, which ⁸⁰ can make the optimisation quite effective, notwith-⁸¹ standing certain drawbacks such as getting stuck ¹⁴² ⁸² at local optima or the presence of intermediate ma-⁸³ terials in the final solution. Its effectiveness and ¹⁴⁴ known multi-objective evolutionary algorithm. A $_{84}$ ease of implementation²², have made it the most $_{145}$ notable attribute of NSGA-II is the use of a fast ⁸⁵ popular approach for topology optimisation. At ¹⁴⁶ non-dominated sorting procedure in combination ⁸⁶ this point, it is worth noting some previous efforts ¹⁴⁷ with a crowding-distance operator that allows find-⁸⁷ toward extending SIMP for multi-objective topol-¹⁴⁸ ing multiple points in the Pareto front simultane-⁸⁸ ogy optimisation. Suresh et al.²³ extended the 99-¹⁴⁹ ously, as opposed to having to run multiple tri-⁸⁹ line MATLAB code to a 199-line code for Pareto-¹⁵⁰ als of a single objective algorithm in combination ⁹⁰ optimal compliance minimisation, and also studied ¹⁵¹ with a scalarisation technique. The effectiveness ⁹¹ the effect of restarts vs hot starts. Hence, in this ¹⁵² of NSGA-II and its variants has made it the most ⁹² article, two variants SIMPsweep and SIMPrestart ¹⁵³ popular multi-objective approach for solving com-⁹³ are considered. Mirzendehdel et al.²⁴ proposed a ¹⁵⁴ binatorial optimisation problems²⁹. $_{\rm 94}$ multi-objective algorithm for multi-material com- $^{\rm 155}$ ⁹⁵ pliance minimisation removing the mass constraint ¹⁵⁶ approaches (HA1 and HA2) are proposed involv-⁹⁶ and treating it as an objective. While the multi-¹⁵⁷ ing a gradient method for initialisation and a non-97 objective consideration is prevalent, it constitutes 158 gradient method for local improvement. The aim

Constructive heuristics are a class of optimi-¹⁰⁵ tion is the (bi-directional) evolutionary structural While new and improved optimisation strate- 106 optimisation methods (ESO/BESO) introduced by ⁶⁴ and compared. The list of approaches chosen are: ¹²¹ can be overcome by making use of the gradients. ¹²² Adopting this, a simple gradient-based construc-• Solid isotropic material with penalisation 123 tive heuristic (CHg) is proposed in the current 124 study.

> Hill climbing is a single objective optimisa-125 126 tion technique that starts with an initial solution 127 and modifies it iteratively while accepting improv-¹²⁸ ing changes. A row-wise hill climbing approach 129 was found to perform among the best strategies ¹³⁰ for acoustic material absorption maximisation⁹. A ¹³¹ common strategy to solve multi-objective problems 132 is to combine the objectives into a scalar value ¹³³ in a process known as scalarisation²⁷, and to ap-¹³⁴ ply a single objective algorithm. A simple way ¹³⁵ to scalarise is to use the weighted sum of the ob-¹³⁶ jectives. By varying the weights, the relative im-¹³⁷ portance of each objective can be controlled. In 138 this study, hill climbing is used in conjunction with ¹³⁹ a weighted-sum scalarisation technique (HC) as a 140 candidate for multi-objective topology optimisa-141 tion.

> The non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm-¹⁴³ II (NSGA-II) introduced by Deb et al.²⁸ is a well-

In addition to the above strategies, two hybrid



Figure 1. (color online) (a) Schematic of an acoustic system with the design domain. (b) Binary representation of a sample shape. 0 refers to air and 1 refers to porous material.

159 is to find whether hybrid approaches are beneficial. The results will provide perspectives on each 160 method, and guide algorithm selection. 161

162 The article is organised as follows. In sec-¹⁶³ tion II, the overall methodology including prob-164 lem description, optimisation formulation, mod-¹⁶⁵ elling method, and details of the experimental de-¹⁶⁶ sign is provided. In section III, a comparison ¹⁶⁷ of gradient algorithms —SIMPsweep, SIMPrestart 168 and CHg is provided. In section IV, a compari-¹⁶⁹ son of gradient-free algorithms HC, and NSGA-II 170 are provided. Along with gradient-free algorithms, ¹⁷¹ a random search procedure is also compared. In ¹⁷² section V, two hybrid approaches HA1 and HA2 173 are described and compared with their parent ap-¹⁷⁴ proaches. Finally, in section VI, a summary of the 175 findings and some general guidelines to design al-176 gorithms are provided.

177 II. METHODOLOGY

178 A. Problem formulation

Consider the problem of optimally filling a 179 ¹⁸⁰ rectangular design domain with a given porous material such that the sound absorption is maximised 181 182 while using minimal material. The design domain 183 can be assumed to be backed by rigid walls with ¹⁸⁴ normal-incidence acoustic source placed as shown ¹⁸⁵ in Figure 1(a). Sound absorption is the ratio of en-¹⁸⁶ ergy absorbed to the total input sound energy. If ¹⁸⁷ no porous material is placed in the design domain, 188 there would not be any absorption. Typically as ¹⁸⁹ more porous material is filled in the design domain, ¹⁹⁰ the absorption would increase, but this is not al-¹⁹¹ ways the case. There are instances when removing ¹⁹² material would improve absorption⁹. Depending ¹⁹³ on the distribution of porous material and air in 194 the design domain, sound absorption will be de-¹⁹⁵ termined at different frequencies of the acoustic ¹⁹⁶ source. Thus, this is a classic bi-objective optimisation problem with trade-off solutions. 197

¹⁹⁹ topology optimisation problem, one of the classi-200 cal ways is to use a fixed discretisation of the sys-201 tem and optimising the material assigned to each 246 and $\{\tilde{\mathbf{F}}\}$ is the dynamic forcing vector of the same 202 finite element. The shape and topology can be 247 dimension. The system matrix $[\tilde{\mathbf{S}}(\boldsymbol{\chi},f)]$ is pop-

203 represented by a vector $\boldsymbol{\chi}$ with zeros and ones corresponding to the absence or presence of porous material in each element respectively, as shown $_{206}$ in Figure 1(b). This is sometimes referred to $_{207}$ as a bit-matrix representation 30 . At this point, ²⁰⁸ it is also worth acknowledging other formulations ²⁰⁹ such as moving morphable components³¹, level-set ²¹⁰ method^{32,33} etc. The objective considered is to 211 find the optimal discrete assignments of either air 212 or a given poroelastic material to each finite el-213 ement that simultaneously maximises the normal ²¹⁴ sound absorption and minimises the volume frac-²¹⁵ tion of the porous material. Mathematically, this ²¹⁶ formulation can be written as:

Simultaneously,

r

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\chi}} \quad \overline{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\chi}) = \frac{1}{n_f} \sum_{i=1}^{n_f} \alpha(\boldsymbol{\chi}, f_i) \quad (1)$$
$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\chi}} \quad V_f(\boldsymbol{\chi}) = \frac{1}{n_e} \sum_{i=1}^{n_e} \chi_i \quad (2)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \{0, 1\}^{n_e}$$
$$\overline{\alpha} \in [0, 1]$$
$$V_f \in [0, 1]$$

217 The first objective $\overline{\alpha} \in [0,1]$ is the sound ab-218 sorption averaged across the target frequencies ²¹⁹ $(f_1, f_2, \dots f_{n_f})$, and the second objective V_f is the ²²⁰ porous volume fraction. Absorption $\overline{\alpha}$ is averaged $_{221}$ over a number of target frequencies n_f , and porous $_{222}$ material volume fraction V_f is averaged over the ²²³ number of elements n_e in the design domain.

224 B. Computing the objectives

Computing the volume fraction V_f for a given 225 ²²⁶ shape χ is quite straightforward from Equation 2, ²²⁷ whereas computing absorption $\overline{\alpha}$ is computation-²²⁸ ally expensive requiring solving a system of lin-²²⁹ ear equations. The procedure followed to compute ²³⁰ absorption is the same as outlined in Ramamoor-²³¹ thy et al.⁹. The acoustic system is modelled us-232 ing the unified Biot-Helmholtz model introduced $_{233}$ by Lee et al.², which considers air as a poroelas-234 tic material with negligible solid-part behaviour. 235 In the unified model, air is considered to have $\chi_{air} = 0.001$ to avoid numerical issues when solv-237 ing the system. Lee et al. also verified the valid-238 ity of such modelling for poroelastic materials with $_{239}$ mixed formulations³⁴.

The most expensive part of computing $\overline{\alpha}$ is 240 ²⁴¹ finding the solution $\{\mathbf{X}\}$ to a system of linear ²⁴² equations $[\tilde{\mathbf{S}}(\boldsymbol{\chi}, f)]{\mathbf{X}} = {\tilde{\mathbf{F}}},$ where the system While there are many ways to formulate the 243 matrix $[\tilde{\mathbf{S}}(\boldsymbol{\chi},f)]$ is a square symmetric complex-²⁴⁴ valued matrix with dimensions of the order of the ²⁴⁵ number of finite elements in the design domain, ²⁴⁸ ulated with material properties of air or porous ²⁴⁹ material at specific submatrices depending on the ²⁵⁰ shape χ . When considering continuous relaxation, ²⁵¹ for the intermediate materials i.e. $\chi_i \in (0, 1]$, the ²⁵² material properties are interpolated using a power-253 law i.e. any material property, say ψ_i is given by $\psi_{254} \psi_{air} + \chi_i^p (\psi_{por} - \psi_{air})$, where ψ_{por} and ψ_{air} are 255 the properties of the porous material and air re-256 spectively.

Since evaluating absorption $\overline{\alpha}$ is the computa-257 ²⁵⁸ tional bottleneck and other algorithmic processes ²⁵⁹ take a relatively insignificant amount of time, this ²⁶⁰ is an expensive optimisation problem, and hence 261 it is reasonable to use the number of absorption ²⁶² evaluations to benchmark the performance of al-263 gorithms.

Computing the gradient of sound absorption 264 ²⁶⁵ with respect to the design variables takes approx-²⁶⁶ imately two more instances of solving the system ²⁶⁷ of linear equations, making it twice as expensive ²⁶⁸ as computing absorption:

timeToCompute
$$\left(\frac{\partial \overline{\alpha}}{\partial \chi}\right) = 2 \times \text{timeToCompute}(\overline{\alpha})$$
(2)

269 Such a quick computation of the gradient is 270 achieved using a fictitious load vector pre-²⁷¹ multiplication, as explained in Lee et al.¹². Thus, ²⁷² computing both absorption and the gradient is 3 ²⁷³ times as expensive as computing just absorption. ²⁷⁴ Therefore, the gradient methods will be given one-275 third the fitness evaluation budget.

276 C. Benchmark problem instances

277 278 seven benchmark problem instances previously in- 303 ter tuning and has been used in the standard way 279 troduced in Ramamoorthy et al.⁹ are adopted. 304 unless otherwise stated. The only difference here is that a modification has 305 281 282 3 in order to improve the model accuracy. For 307 equivalent gradient-free fitness evaluations. Gra- $_{283}$ completeness, the details of the problem instances $_{308}$ dient algorithms are assigned $4096/3 \approx 1365$ fit-284 are provided in Table I. All the problem instances 309 ness evaluations, and the non-gradient methods 285 have a rectangular design domain but with vary- 310 are allowed 4096 fitness evaluations. For the hy-286 ing discretisation, the porous material filled, fre- 311 brid algorithms, 25% of the computational effort 287 quency range of interest, and dimensions. Table 312 was allotted for gradient-based search and 75% for $_{288}$ II provides the poroelastic material properties for $_{313}$ non-gradient search i.e., $25\% \times 4096/3$ gradient- $_{289}$ the materials used in the problem instances. While $_{314}$ included and $75\% \times 4096$ gradient-free fitness eval- $_{\rm 290}$ the problem instance 1 uses the same material as $_{\rm 315}$ uations. $_{291}$ Lee et al.² with a high tortuosity, the third prob- $_{316}$ 292 lem instance uses a fictitious material with high 317 problem instances, the resulting SIMP solutions ²⁹³ airflow-resistivity, and all other problem instances ³¹⁸ had intermediate materials. In such scenarios, only ²⁹⁴ use melamine.

295 D. Experimental design

Table III provides a quick summary of the opti- 323 comparison. 296 ²⁹⁷ misation approaches used in this study along with ³²⁴ 298 a short description and pseudocode of each ap- 325 solution set produced by each algorithm, a hy-²⁹⁹ proach. More detailed descriptions of each algo-³²⁶ pervolume metric will be used. The hypervolume ³⁰⁰ rithm are provided in the following sections. Rea-³²⁷ value corresponding to a given set of trade-off so-301 sonable effort has been made to use each algorithm 328 lutions is the scalar value equal to the union of

Table I. Benchmark problems (see section IIC)

Problem	Mesh size	Length	Height	f_{min}	f_{step}	f_{max}	Material ID
instance	nel x \times nely	D (m)	d (m)	Hz	Hz	Hz	(see Tab. II)
1	10×10	0.135	0.054	100	100	1500	(1)
2	15×10	0.045	0.1	100	100	1500	(2)
3	${\bf 50}\times {\bf 20}$	0.1	0.1	50	50	500	(3)
4	10×10	0.02	0.1	100	100	1500	(2)
5	10×10	0.02	0.1	2000	1000	5000	(2)
6	50×20	0.135	0.054	100	100	1500	(2)
7	10×5	0.135	0.054	500	500	500	(2)

Table II. Materials used in the benchmark problems and their properties (see Table I).

Material	Material-1	Material-2	Material-3
parameters			
Material:	LKKK ²	Melamine	High-resistivity foam
Acoustic model:	JCAL^{35-37}	JCAL	JCAL
ϕ	0.9	0.99	0.8
$\Lambda'~(\mu m)$	449	196	100
$\Lambda ~(\mu m)$	225	98	10
$\sigma \; (N \cdot s \cdot m^{-4})$	25000	10000	300000
α_{∞}	7.8	1.01	3
k'_0	4.75e-09	4.75e-09	4.75e-09
$\rho~(\rm kg{\cdot}m^{-3})$	31.08	8	80
E (Pa)	800000	160000	30000
ν	0.4	0.44	0.44
η	0.265	0.1	0.01

To compare the optimisation approaches, 302 in its recommended or best settings from parame-

All the strategies were given the same been made in the mesh size in problem instance 306 arbitrarily-chosen computational budget of 4096

> It should be noted, that in some trials on some ³¹⁹ the non-dominated solutions were discretised by a ³²⁰ round-off filter and the fitnesses were recomputed. ³²¹ This is done so that all solutions compared in this 322 study are from the discrete space to facilitate a fair

To quantify and compare the non-dominated

Algorithm	Description and pseudocode	Deterministic or stochastic	Trials	Fitness evalu- ation budget per trial			
	Gradient-based approach	ies					
SIMPrestart	Solid isotropic material with penalisation (SIMP) restarted with different volume fraction constraints fixed for a trial: A gradient-based strategy with optimality criteria move-update; following ³⁸ . Initialised with an empty design domain; Restarted with a new $V_{\bar{f}}$ until budget is used up.	Stochastic: multiple restarts within trial	1 (mul- tiple restarts)	1365 (with gradi- ent)			
SIMPsweep	SIMP with adaptive volume fraction constraint: Ini- tialised with an empty design domain; Volume frac- tion constraint \bar{V}_f updated after each fitness evalua- tion reached 1 as budget approaches.	Deterministic	1	1365 (with gradi- ent)			
CHg	Gradient-based constructive heuristic: Start from an empty solution; Add porous material in steps of ' m ' elements where the gradient is highest, until all ele- ments are porous	Deterministic	1	$\min(N/m, 1365)$ (with gradient)			
	Non-gradient approache	es					
НС	Hill climbing: Use a weighted-sum scalarisation tech- nique to combine the two objectives into a single fit- ness value. Apply first improvement hill climbing starting from a random discrete solution. Move or- der is like in a raster-scan.	Stochastic, since initial solution is random	15	4096 (non- gradient)			
NSGA-II	Non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm - II^{28} : Use a bit representation, tournament selection based on crowding distance and rank, uniform crossover, bit- wise mutation probability of $1/N$.	Stochastic	15	4096 (non- gradient)			
RAND	Random search algorithm: Picked a desired volume fraction uniformly $\in [0, 1]$; Use this as the probability of porous material at each element and synthesise a solution. Repeat budget number of times.	4096 (non- gradient)					
	Hybrid approaches						
HA1	Hybrid approach 1: Run CHg using 25% of the bud- get, and run hill climbing for 75% of the budget start- ing from a selected solution with scalarisation weight such that the combined objective isoline at the so- lution point in objective space is tangential to the Pareto front.	4096 (equivalent non-gradient)					
HA2	Hybrid approach 2: Run CHg using 25% of the bud- get, and run NSGA-II for 75% of the budget starting from an initial population from equispaced points in the CHg Pareto front.	Stochastic	15	4096 (equivalent non-gradient)			

Table III. Optimisation approaches and their settings

330 solution over and above the objective values of a 338 ter the multiobjective performance can be consid- $_{\rm 331}$ given reference solution. An illustration is shown $_{\rm 330}$ ered to be. 332 in Figure 2. For the bi-objective problem un-333 der study, the hypervolume would simply be the 334 area of the objective space that is dominated by 335 the Pareto set obtained from the algorithms from 336 a reference point. The reference point chosen is

329 volumes in the objective space dominated by each 337 ($\overline{\alpha}, V_f$) = (0, 1). Larger the hypervolume, the bet-



Figure 2. (color online) An illustration of the hypervolume metric.

341 III. GRADIENT APPROACHES

342 A. Solid-isotropic-material-with-penalisation(SIMP)

Solid-isotropic-material-with-penalisation 343 (SIMP) is a popular strategy for structural 344 ³⁴⁵ topology optimisation where the main idea is to 346 consider a continuous relaxation of the material choices by using a power-law interpolation scheme. 347 SIMP makes use of gradients to make incremental 348 changes to the shape followed by the application 349 ³⁵⁰ of morphological filters³⁹. In this paper, the im-³⁵¹ plementation is adapted from the efficient 88-line ³⁵² code for compliance minimisation by Andreassen et al.³⁸ replacing compliance and its gradients with absorption and its gradients, and making the material choices as air and porous material 356 instead of solid and void. SIMP takes the desired 357 volume fraction (\overline{V}_f) as one of its algorithmic 358 parameters. Two variants are considered namely, 359 SIMPrestart and SIMPsweep.

360 1. SIMPrestart

In SIMPrestart, multiple trials of SIMP are 361 ³⁶² run with each trial using a different V_f . For each ⁴¹⁹ 363 \bar{J}_{42} ume fraction close to the chosen \bar{V}_f . Once conver- 42 heuristic (CH1) performed among the best ap- $_{366}$ gence is achieved, SIMP is restarted with a new \bar{V}_{f} $_{423}$ proaches in topology optimisation for maximising ³⁶⁷ and a newly generated initial solution. Depending ⁴²⁴ sound absorption⁹. In CH1, the procedure was $_{368}$ on V_f and the initial solution, the algorithm con- $_{425}$ to incrementally add porous materials to locations ³⁶⁹ verges to a variety of shapes as Figure 3(a) shows ⁴²⁶ where the increase in absorption would be the high-³⁷⁰ for problem instance 6. Each trial converged af-⁴²⁷ est. However, finding the change in absorption at ³⁷¹ ter about 100 iterations. The process is continued ⁴²⁸ every finite element is computationally expensive ³⁷² until the budget of 1365 is used up.

373 V_{f} uses of V_{f} were used in each trial. The solution 431 CHg starts from an empty or air-filled design do-375 progress in the objective space from SIMPrestart 432 main, and fills porous material incrementally in

378 2. SIMPsweep

379 380 solution with an initial volume fraction limit $\bar{V}_f =$ 381 0, and applies SIMP move updates while updating 440 Note that in the seven problem instances consid- \bar{V}_f in every iteration reaching $\bar{V}_f = 1$ as the fit-⁴⁴¹ ered, the number of elements are respectively 100,

produced for problem instance 6 are plotted in the 384 objective space in Figure 4, along with some of the shapes. It can be observed that as the volume frac-386 tion increases, the general trend is that absorption also increases. Notably for this melamine problem 388 ³⁸⁹ instance, some of the optimal shapes closely resem-³⁹⁰ ble flat layers. Whereas this is not always the case across problem instances. 391

The solutions from SIMP algorithms did not 302 always result in 0 or 1 shapes, and the shapes were 393 rounded i.e., values less than 0.5 are set to 0 and $_{395}$ more than 0.5 are set to 1, and the absorptions were recomputed. This involved additional fitness ³⁹⁷ evaluations beyond the budget. Nevertheless, the ³⁹⁸ resulting changes in absorption due to rounding were insignificant in most cases. 300

A comparison of Pareto fronts of SIMPsweep 400 ⁴⁰¹ and SIMPrestart is shown in Figure 6 for problem 402 instance 6. It may be observed that for some vol-403 ume fraction values ($V_f \approx 0.1$) SIMPsweep found 404 better solutions while in others ($V_f \approx 0.6$) SIM-⁴⁰⁵ Prestart did. In this problem instance, SIMPsweep ⁴⁰⁶ seems to cover a larger hypervolume. However, ⁴⁰⁷ upon observing the hypervolumes for all problem ⁴⁰⁸ instances in Table V, there seems to be no clear ⁴⁰⁹ winner between SIMPrestart and SIMPsweep since 410 the former covered more hypervolumes in three ⁴¹¹ problem instances while the latter covered more ⁴¹² in the other four.

Among the two, for lower fitness evaluation 413 ⁴¹⁴ budgets, SIMPsweep is recommended since unlike ⁴¹⁵ in SIMPrestart, less computational time will be ⁴¹⁶ spent on initially reaching good solutions as also ⁴¹⁷ suggested by Suresh²³.

⁴¹⁸ B. Constructive heuristic using gradient (CHg)

Constructive heuristics are methods which inof these trials, SIMP was initialised from a random 420 crementally build solutions from scratch. In a solution normalised to have an overall initial vol- 421 previous study, a material-addition constructive ⁴²⁹ and in this approach (CHg), they are replaced by To populate the Pareto front, equispaced val- 430 gradients which are relatively cheap (Equation 3). ³⁷⁶ for all trials are shown in figure 3(b) for problem ⁴³³ finite elements where the gradient of sound ab-³⁷⁷ instance 6. $\frac{\partial \overline{\alpha}}{\partial \chi_i}$ is highest. At each step *m* number 435 of elements are chosen to fill with porous material 436 after each gradient evaluation, and the total num- $_{\rm 437}$ ber of fitness evaluations necessary would be n_e/m SIMPsweep starts from an empty or air-filled $_{438}$ where n_e is the total number of elements. m is cho-439 sen such that n_e/m does not exceed the budget. $_{442}$ ness evaluation budget is reached. The solutions $_{442}$ 150, 1000, 100, 100, 100, 100, and 50. Since the bud-



Figure 3. SIMPrestart:(a) Best shapes from the first 4 trials on problem instance 6 with volume fraction limits 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 and 0.6 respectively. In these shape images and others, the rigid backing is on the right and the acoustic forcing is on the left. (b) Progress in objective space for various trials. Each colour corresponds to a different trial with different V_f .



Figure 4. (color online) SIMPsweep: Pareto front for problem instance 6, which uses melamine, results in shapes that resemble flat layers.

⁴⁴³ get considered is 1365, all problem instances can ⁴⁴⁴ be completed in n_e fitness evaluations with m = 1. ⁴⁴⁵ Hence, CHg will effectively utilise less fitness eval-⁴⁴⁶ uations than the budget in the cases considered. ⁴⁴⁷ Note that CHg always will search solutions in the ⁴⁴⁸ discrete space since an element is either filled or ⁴⁴⁹ not filled. In this way, it is different from SIMP-⁴⁵⁰ sweep.

The progress of solutions found by CHg applied on problem 6 instance is shown in Figure 5 in the objective space along with a few shapes. Here, the shapes have two flat layers as opposed to one so found in SIMPsweep.

456 C. Comparing gradient-based approaches

Figure 6 compares the Pareto fronts produced SIMPrestart, SIMPsweep and CHg algorithms For problem instance 6 as an example. Note that while SIMPrestart tends to leave gaps in the Pareto front, SIMPsweep and CHg finds more solutions and span the front well. There are specific regions where one algorithm performs better than the other two, but overall, these three approaches can be considered to be similar in terms of performance.



Figure 5. (color online) Solution progress for constructive heuristic using gradients (CHg) applied on problem instance 6



Figure 6. Comparison of gradient methods SIM-Prestart, SIMPsweep and CHg for problem instance 6.

The hypervolumes covered by solutions from the gradient approaches are shown in Table IV. Anong the three methods, SIMPrestart covered the most hypervolume in one problem instance, INPsweep in two problem instances and CHg in the other four, as emphasised by the bold font. However, the values are not significantly different among the three approaches.

Instance	SIMPrestart	SIMPsweep	CHg
1	0.7065	0.6835	0.6724
2	0.4014	0.4047	0.4066
3	0.7317	0.6063	0.7412
4	0.1160	0.1188	0.1087
5	0.5208	0.5292	0.5323
6	0.7202	0.7607	0.7512
7	0.8727	0.8567	0.8733

Table IV. Hypervolume comparison of gradient based approaches SIMPrestart, SIMPsweep and CHg



Figure 7. Solutions traversed by hill climbing (HC) with combined Pareto front from 15 trials compared CHg.

An important aspect to note is the possibility 475 ⁴⁷⁶ to speed up SIMPsweep and CHg if required. For $_{477}$ instance, if only $1/10^{th}$ of the fitness evaluation ⁴⁷⁸ budget is allowed, in SIMPsweep, the volume frac-479 tion constraint \bar{V}_f would be adapted 10 times more 480 quickly to reach 1 as the budget is used up. Sim- $_{481}$ ilarly for CHg, one can simply increase m which 482 is to add more elements with porous material in 483 each iteration. Though this risks potentially miss-⁴⁸⁴ ing several trade-off solutions, the quality of the so-⁴⁸⁵ lutions would not be significantly affected. This is ⁴⁸⁶ because, every next solution found by SIMPsweep ⁴⁸⁷ or CHg is an incremental perturbation from an al-⁴⁸⁸ ready good solution. Although, for SIMPrestart, ⁴⁸⁹ speed-up can be achieved by tuning the move limit ⁴⁹⁰ parameter m^{38} , there are some caveats to doing ⁵³⁷ B. Non-dominated sorting genetic algorithms (NSGA-⁴⁹¹ this such as the occurrence of numerical oscilla-492 tions.

493 IV. NON-GRADIENT APPROACHES

494 A. Hill climbing

495 496 optimisation. Typically, a single initial solution is 545 is applied with a bit-wise mutation rate of $(1/n_e)$ $_{497}$ picked and iteratively modified, and the modified $_{546}$ where n_e is the chromosome length and a popula-

solution is accepted as the current solution if it is 498 improving. 499

In this implementation, to allow choosing ini-500 tial solutions spread out in volume fraction, a de-501 ⁵⁰² sired volume fraction is first picked randomly be-⁵⁰³ tween 0 and 1, and this value is used as the prob-⁵⁰⁴ ability to fill porous material in each element.

From the initial solution, elements are bitflipped row-by-row, and the change is accepted if the scalarised objective function decreases. This is similar to HC in Ramamoorthy et al.⁹ but with a weighted-sum scalarisation, in which the two objectives are combined into one as given in Equation 4.

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\chi}} \quad C = -w\overline{\alpha} + (1-w)V_f \tag{4}$$

 $_{505}$ The weight w corresponds to the importance of maximising absorption as opposed to minimising 506 volume fraction and can take values between 0 and 507 1. A weight of 1 implies maximising only absorp-508 tion irrespective of volume fraction, and likewise, a 509 weight of 0 corresponds to only minimising volume 510 fraction. An illustration of the effect of choosing 511 w on the scalarised objective is shown in Figure 8. 512 Note that w governs the slope of the isolines of the 513 scalarised objective. This will be relevant later. 514

For each trial run of HC, a fixed weight is cho-515 ⁵¹⁶ sen. Then, hill climbing on the combined objective ⁵¹⁷ is done until the fitness evaluation budget is used ⁵¹⁸ up. 15 such trials are run with different weights. against CHg Pareto front. HC finds improvements over 519 Figure 7 shows all solutions from 15 trials of HC ⁵²⁰ for problem instance 1 compared with CHg solu-⁵²¹ tions. The trails of points in the figure correspond 522 to individual trials improving solutions in a specific 523 direction depending on the chosen weight. The combined results from HC are better than those 524 ⁵²⁵ of CHg in some regions in both $\overline{\alpha}$ and V_f , indicat-⁵²⁶ ing that the gradient methods do often converge to ⁵²⁷ local-optimal solutions, and potential for improve-528 ments exist.

> An issue with HC is that only a specific re-529 ⁵³⁰ gion in the Pareto front will be explored in a given ⁵³¹ trial. The trial-averaged hypervolumes are signifi-532 cantly lower than the combined hypervolume over ⁵³³ 15 trials as may be observed by comparing the HC $_{\rm 534}$ columns in Tables V and VI. This is because us-535 ing a set scalarisation weight for a trial guides the ⁵³⁶ search towards a specific region in the Pareto front.

538 II)

NSGA-II is a popular multi-objective optimi-539 $_{540}$ sation strategy introduced by Deb et al²⁸. It 541 has been effectively used in solving multi-criteria 542 decision-making problems across a plethora of 543 fields. In this implementation, a single-point cross Hill climbing is a heuristic for single objective 544 over with an individual cross-over probability of 0.9



Figure 8. (color online) The effect of weights in weighted-sum scalarisation on the slope of the isolines of combined objective value.

⁵⁴⁹ Figure 9 shows the progress of solutions in the ⁵⁷⁷ of scalarisation weight, in a given trial, HC only ⁵⁵⁰ objective function space for one trial of NSGA-II ⁵⁷⁸ explores a specific region in the Pareto front. ⁵⁵¹ for problem instance 1. In the figure, each point ⁵⁷⁹ Whereas, NSGA-II spans the objective space effec-⁵⁵² refers to a particular shape and the colour corre- ⁵⁸⁰ tively due to the crowding distance-based selection 553 sponds to the generation in which it was found. We 581 mechanism. NSGA-II also outperforms RAND in 554 555 sound absorption and less volume fraction. 556



Figure 9. (color online) NSGA-II progress of solutions in the objective function space for problem instance 1 trial 1.

557 C. Random Search (RAND)

For benchmarking the performance of HC and 558 ⁵⁵⁹ NSGA-II, a random search algorithm referred here as RAND is applied on all seven problem instances. 560 Random solutions spread across volume fraction 561 are obtained by choosing a random number for de-562 sired volume fraction, and using this value as prob-563 ability to fill porous material in each element. 4096 564 such solutions are generated and fitnesses are eval-565 uated in each trial, and 15 such trials were run. Us-566 ing non-dominated sorting on each trial separately 567 and across all 15 trials, the trial-averaged and 15trial-combined hypervolumes were found and pop-⁵⁷⁰ ulated in tables V and VI.

571 D. Comparison of non-gradient algorithms

572 1. Performance per trial

573 574 from HC and NSGAII in Table V, it is clear that 599 large margin.

⁵⁴⁷ tion size of 32. These parameters were found using 575 NSGA-II is consistently better across all problem parameter-tuning studies on genetic algorithms⁹. 576 instances. This is because based on the choice can observe that as the generations progress (from 582 all problem instances, but interestingly, HC on a blue towards red), the solutions tend towards more 583 per-trial basis, does not outperform even RAND. 584 Moreover, RAND outperforms HC across all prob-⁵⁸⁵ lem instances. This is because HC in a single trial 586 is essentially a single-objective algorithm that does ⁵⁸⁷ not incentivise spanning the hypervolume.

2. Performance across 15 trials combined



Figure 10. (color online) Combined Pareto fronts from 15 trials of HC, NSGA-II and RAND on problem instance 1.

Combining 15 trials of HC run with different weights results in a better hypervolume than com-590 bined results of 15 trials of NSGA-II consistently 591 ⁵⁹² across all problem instances as can be observed in ⁵⁹³ Table VI (see columns HC vs NSGA-II). As an ex-⁵⁹⁴ ample, for problem instance 1, by comparing the ⁵⁹⁵ Pareto fronts in Figure 10, it is clear that HC so-⁵⁹⁶ lutions often have better absorption for the same ⁵⁹⁷ volume fraction than NSGA-II. Both NSGA-II and Comparing the median-trial hypervolumes ⁵⁹⁸ HC cover a larger hypervolume than RAND by a

600 V. HYBRID APPROACHES

From the studies on gradient and non-gradient algorithms, it was evident that gradient methods can quickly approximate the Pareto front, whereas non-gradient methods can provide improvements in specific regions of the Pareto front.

In order to obtain the benefits of both, two hybrid approaches combining a gradient-based algorithm for initiation and a non-gradient algorithm for improvement is presented and compared. The first hybrid approach is a combination of CHg and http://www.combination.com/ proach is a combination of CHg and NSGA-II denoted as HA2. We picked CHg as the initiator mainly because, it guarantees discrete solutions and allows the possibility to speed up (see III C).

616 A. Hybrid approach 1: CHg+HC

⁶¹⁷ Hybrid approach 1 (HA1) combines the use of ⁶¹⁸ CHg for 25% of the budget and HC for the remain-⁶¹⁹ ing 75% of the budget. These numbers are arbi-⁶²⁰ trarily chosen with some basis on experience. Since ⁶²¹ CHg is gradient-based, and gradient-included eval-⁶²² uations are thrice as expensive as non-gradient fit-⁶²³ ness evaluations (Equation 3), the rationing is such ⁶²⁴ that CHg uses $25\% \times (\frac{4096}{3})$ fitness evaluations and ⁶²⁵ HC uses $75\% \times (\frac{4096}{1})$.

Figure 11 illustrates the procedure involved in 626 627 HA1. Firstly, CHg is run to obtain a trade-off so-628 lution set. Then, 15 solutions are selected from 629 the CHg trade-off set equispaced in volume frac- $_{\rm 630}$ tion to use as initial solutions for each of the 15 631 HC trials. For each HC trial, a different scalari- $_{632}$ sation weight w is used such that the isolines of $_{633}$ the combined objective C has a slope tangential to ⁶³⁴ CHg Pareto front at the initial solution. The slope 635 of the Pareto front at the initial solution is ob-⁶³⁶ tained using a simple central difference of adjacent points. This 'Pareto-slope-based scalarisation' ef-637 638 fectively guides HC to find improvements to the 639 Pareto front. HC is run until the remaining bud-640 get is used up. As seen in Figure 11, in each trial, ⁶⁴¹ only a specific region is explored. The hypervolumes covered after each trial and after combining 642 all 15 trials are computed. 643

The per-trial median hypervolumes and 15trials-combined hypervolumes obtained by HA1 are provided in Tables V and VI for all problem instances.

648 B. Hybrid approach 2: CHg+NSGA-II

Hybrid approach 2 (HA2) combines CHg and
NSGA-II in a similar fashion i.e., CHg uses 25% of
the budget, NSGA-II uses the remaining 75%. The
rationing of fitness evaluations is similar to that in
HA1.

⁶⁵⁴ Originally, the final solution set from CHg was ⁶⁵⁵ meant to be used as the initial population for



Figure 11. (color online) Hybrid approach 1 illustration of a trial for problem instance 1. Apply CHg for 25% of the budget. Pick an initial solution on the CHg Pareto set. Set scalarisation weight such that the isolines of the combined objective are tangential to the Pareto front at the selected CHg point. Apply hill climbing for the rest of the fitness evaluation budget. The final Pareto set after combining 15 trials each starting from equispaced points on the CHg Pareto set are shown using 'x' markers.



Figure 12. (color online) Hybrid approach 2: CHg run for 25% of computational budget, and then using the Pareto set as the initial population, NSGA-II is run for the remaining budget. Solutions traversed by NSGA-II in one of the 15 trials are shown in blue dots. The combined Pareto front from 15 trials is shown in red crosses.

⁶⁵⁶ NSGA-II in each trial. However, on some occasions ⁶⁵⁷ the CHg Pareto front contained more or less solu-⁶⁵⁸ tions than the population size assigned for NSGA-⁶⁵⁹ II. Hence, when there were more solutions in CHg ⁶⁶⁰ Pareto set, only 32 solutions equispaced in volume ⁶⁶¹ fraction were considered as the initial population ⁶⁶² for NSGA-II, and when there were less solutions, ⁶⁶³ they were duplicated using the selection process in ⁶⁶⁴ the first generation. Then NSGA-II is run for the ⁶⁶⁵ remainder of the budget.

Figure 12 shows the solutions searched in an 722 666 667 example trial out of the 15 trials that were run for 723 ment as it is able to combine the good solutions ₆₆₈ problem instance 1. The combined Pareto front 724 from various regions of the Pareto front. For the ⁶⁶⁹ from 15 trials is then plotted using red crosses. ⁷²⁵ same reason HA1 (CHg+HC) also performs excep-⁶⁷⁰ It may be observed that in the low volume frac- ⁷²⁶ tionally well, producing the best hypervolumes in 671 tion regions, the solutions from NSGA-II never 727 6 out of 7 problem instances. This shows that the 672 seem to improve. This is because crossover and 728 proposed Pareto-slope-based weighted-sum scalar-673 mutation operations always produced worse solu- 729 isation technique with a simple greedy hill climb-674 tions. The hypervolumes covered by the median 730 ing algorithm can be used as an effective local 675 trial and the overall hypervolume of the combined 731 improvement strategy. A take-away is that be-676 non-dominated solutions across 15 trials of HA2 732 fore manufacturing an optimal shape using any ⁶⁷⁷ are provided in Tables V and VI.

678 C. Overall comparison

679 1. Trial-averaged performance for 4096 budget

For a computational budget of 4096 gradient-680 681 free fitness evaluations, Table V shows the result-⁶⁸² ing hypervolumes covered by all algorithms used 683 in this study. It should be noted that CHg did not need to use the entire budget. Since in each iteration, CHg has to fill at least one element, the entire design domain can be filled with only $\{100,$ 687 150, 1000, 100, 100, 1000, 50} fitness evaluations respectively for problem instances 1 through 7. 688

Keeping this in mind, the table shows that 689 690 HA2, a combination of CHg and NSGA-II, cov-747 691 ers the most hypervolume in 4 out of 7 prob-748 exact algorithms that run in practical times to con-⁶⁹² lem instances on average per trial. ⁶⁹³ HA2 also performs better than stand-alone NSGA-⁶⁹⁴ II for the same budget. While it is evident ⁶⁹⁵ that gradient-based initialisation boosts the per-⁶⁹⁶ formance of NSGA-II, it is interesting to note ⁶⁹⁷ that HA2 can perform better that SIMPrestart or ⁶⁹⁸ SIMPsweep which are normally used in practise. ⁷⁵⁵ this is shown for problem instance 1 in Figure 13. ⁶⁹⁹ Thus, if one has a fixed computational budget, to ⁷⁰⁰ cover the most hypervolume, a reliable strategy is to use a combination of CHg followed by NSGA-II. $_{\scriptscriptstyle 758}$ 701 702 $_{703}$ forms the best in two problem instances and CHg $_{760}$ trial, while results for other algorithms are from a 704 performs best in one problem instance. Notably, 761 combination of 15 trials. Hence, one cannot draw 705 SIMPsweep and CHg are also scalable for lower 706 budgets. These three algorithms may be recom-707 mended for applications such as software imple-⁷⁰⁸ mentations in the initial stages of design that need to quickly come up with trade-off acoustic solu-710 tions within a set computational budget.

711 2. Combined performance of 15 trials each with 4096 budget 712

It is also of interest to identify effective strate-713 714 gies that find solutions with best attainable qual-715 ity with relaxed computational time budgets, such 716 as for manufacturing best acoustic designs. Ta-⁷¹⁷ ble VI shows the resulting hypervolumes covered ⁷¹⁸ by a combination of 15 trials which is equivalent ⁷¹⁹ to 15*4096 gradient-free function evaluations. For ⁷²⁰ this comparison, we do not include the gradient ⁷²¹ methods as they did not use the same budget.

In this study, HC shows a significant improve-733 multi-objective topology optimisation approach, it ⁷³⁴ is worth ensuring that there exists no other domi-735 nating solution that HC can find.

Between NSGA-II and its hybrid counterpart 736 737 HA2, the latter seems to cover more hypervolumes 738 across all problem instances. This is again an ex-⁷³⁹ ample of a hybrid approach performing better than 740 its parent approach. HA2 also performed the best 741 in one of the seven problem instances, and comes 742 close to the performance of HA1. This show that 743 there is benefit to using hybrid strategies involving 744 gradient initialisers with non-gradient improvers.

745 3. Pareto front comparison for all algorithms 746 combined across 15 trials

The problem of topology optimisation has no Note that 749 firm the true Pareto-optimal solutions. Neverthe-750 less, it is of interest to see which algorithms con-⁷⁵¹ tribute to finding the best known solutions in the 752 Pareto diagram.

> 753 Hence, we compare the Pareto fronts obtained 754 from all algorithms in one place. As an example, 756 The gradient algorithms are marked in blue, non-757 gradient in red and hybrid in green.

It should be noted that the Pareto fronts for Also, it is worth noting that SIMPsweep per- 759 gradient algorithms are obtained from only one 762 a direct comparison across gradient strategies and 763 others.

> Among the three gradient algorithms, it may 764 765 be observed that CHg finds better absorbing so-⁷⁶⁶ lutions in lower volume fractions up to 0.3, and 767 the SIMP algorithms found better solutions after $V_f = 0.3.$ 768

> Among non-gradient algorithms, it is clear ⁷⁷⁰ that all approaches perform better than random ⁷⁷¹ search, but there is no single clear winner between HC and NSGA-II. 772

> Hybrid algorithms work best to cover the most 773 ⁷⁷⁴ hypervolume, but interestingly, there are some re-775 gions where HC produces better non-dominated rr6 solutions (see between $V_f=0.1$ and 0.3). This 777 shows that one cannot ignore HC just because the ⁷⁷⁸ hypervolume spanned is poor. The potential of HC ⁷⁷⁹ for local exploration needs to be recognised.

Table V. Median hypervolumes obtained while running one trial with a budget equivalent to 4096 gradient-free fitness evaluations. HA2 seems to perform best when considering the trial-averaged performance for 4096 fitness evaluations.

	Gradient-based			Gradient-free			Hybrid	
Fitness evaluations	1365	1365	$\min(n_e/m, 1365)$	4096	4096	4096	4096	4096
Instance/ Alg	SIMPrestart	SIMPsweep	CHg	HC	NSGAII	RAND	HA1	HA2
1	0.7065	0.6835	0.6724	0.5622	0.6824	0.5915	0.7013	0.7170
2	0.4014	0.4047	0.4066	0.2684	0.3427	0.3212	0.4066	0.4068
3	0.7317	0.6063	0.7412	0.5908	0.6336	0.6061	0.7343	0.7184
4	0.1160	0.1188	0.1087	0.0893	0.1148	0.1085	0.1122	0.1174
5	0.5208	0.5292	0.5323	0.3798	0.4847	0.4561	0.5324	0.5327
6	0.7202	0.7607	0.7512	0.5430	0.6159	0.6211	0.7603	0.7601
7	0.8727	0.8567	0.8733	0.7133	0.8531	0.7677	0.8733	0.8758

Table VI. Hypervolume combined over 15 trials are compared in this table. These hypervolumes are also contrasted with those of single trials of gradient algorithms. HA1 seems to perform consistently better when considering a combination of 15 trials of 4096 fitness evaluations.

	Gradient-free			Hybrid		
Instance	HC	NSGAII	RAND	HA1	HA2	
Budget	15*4096	15*4096	15*4096	15*4096	15*4096	
1	0.7436	0.7302	0.6221	0.7438	0.7307	
2	0.4029	0.3613	0.3329	0.4081	0.4074	
3	0.7772	0.6878	0.6219	0.8104	0.7295	
4	0.1144	0.1169	0.1107	0.1195	0.1190	
5	0.5212	0.5034	0.4708	0.5343	0.5337	
6	0.7509	0.6310	0.6269	0.7646	0.7606	
7	0.8407	0.8725	0.8021	0.8755	0.8759	

799

780 VI. CONCLUSION

800 In this article, several multi-objective strate-781 782 gies were compared to identify effective ap-801 783 proaches for quickly obtaining lightweight and 802 784 high-absorbing acoustic shape designs within a 803 785 given amount of computational effort. Three 804 786 gradient strategies—SIMPrestart, SIMPsweep and 787 CHg, two gradient-free strategies—HC and NSGA-805 806 788 II, and two hybrid strategies—HA1 (CHg+HC) 807 789 and HA2 (CHg+NSGA-II), were studied. The 808 ⁷⁹⁰ findings are highlighted as follows. 809

1. Gradient algorithms often get stuck at local-791 810 optimal shapes indicated by the fact that ₈₁₁ 792 non-gradient approaches have been able to 812 793 find better solutions in terms of both absorp-794 tion and volume fraction objectives. 795

796 797 798 restarting SIMP at various volume fraction constraints (SIMPrestart).

- 3. A simple new gradient-based constructive heuristic (CHg) is introduced that guarantees discrete solutions while also being scalable and as performant as SIMP algorithms.
- 4. Hybrid approaches using gradient algorithms as initialisers and non-gradient algorithms as exploiters seem to be more effective than any parent gradient or non-gradient algorithm for the same computational budget.
- 5. Hill climbing with a Pareto-slope-based weighted-sum scalarisation proves to be an effective local search technique to improve solutions near the Pareto front.

814 If the goal is to quickly find a set of trade-off 2. Reusing solutions from SIMP with an adap- 815 shapes, such as to use in software applications, tive volume fraction constraint (SIMPsweep) ⁸¹⁶ then any gradient approach or a hybrid approach is better at spanning the Pareto front than ⁸¹⁷ with CHg and NSGA-II would be more suitable. If



Figure 13. (color online) Comparison of non-dominated solutions from all algorithms for problem instance 1. Colours blue, red and green correspond to gradient, non-gradient and hybrid algorithms respectively. Gradient algorithm results are from one trial, whereas non-gradient and hybrid algorithm results are from a combination of 15 trials. Hence they must not be compared.

851

852

861

864

^{\$18} the goal is to obtain the optimised shape designs of ^{\$49} ⁸¹⁹ the best attainable quality for manufacture, then a ⁸⁵⁰ ⁸²⁰ hybrid approach with CHg and hill climbing with a ⁸²¹ Pareto-slope-based scalarisation seems to be more ⁸²² suitable. If the interest is to find the best attain-823 able trade-off solutions to a problem, then no algo-⁸²⁴ rithm is a clear winner. Algorithms such as HC oc-856 ⁸²⁵ casionally find better solutions in specific regions 857 ⁸²⁶ than their hybrid counterpart and cannot be ig-⁸²⁷ nored.

828 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This result is part of a project that received 830 funding from the European Research Coun-831 cil (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme 832 ⁸³³ No2Noise (no2noise.eu) with the grant agreement 834 no. 765472.

835 REFERENCES

- ¹Tristan Cambonie, Fulbert Mbailassem, and Emmanuel 836 Gourdon. Bending a quarter wavelength resonator: Cur-837
- 838 vature effects on sound absorption properties. Applied Acoustics, 131:87-102, 2018. 839
- $^2\mathrm{Joong}$ Seok Lee, Yoon Young Kim, Jung Soo Kim, and 840
- Yeon June Kang. Two-dimensional poroelastic acoustical 841
- foam shape design for absorption coefficient maximization 842
- by topology optimization method. The Journal of the 843
- 844 Acoustical Society of America, 123(4):2094–2106, 2008.
- 845 ³Won Uk Yoon, Jun Hyeong Park, Joong Seok Lee, and
- Yoon Young Kim. Topology optimization design for total 846
- sound absorption in porous media. Computer Methods in 847
- Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 360:112723, 2020. 848

⁴Ole Sigmund and Kurt Maute. Topology optimization approaches. Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization, 48(6):1031-1055, 2013.

- ⁵Martin P Bendsøe and N Kikuchi. Generating optimal topologies in structural design using a homogenization method. Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering, 71(2):197-224, 1988.
- ⁶Martin P Bendsøe. Optimal shape design as a material distribution problem. Structural optimization, 1(4):193-202, 1989.
- ⁷Eddie Wadbro and Martin Berggren. Topology optimiza-859 tion of an acoustic horn. Computer methods in applied 860 mechanics and engineering, 196(1-3):420-436, 2006.
- ⁸Maria B Dühring, Jakob S Jensen, and Ole Sigmund. 862 Acoustic design by topology optimization. Journal of sound and vibration, 317(3-5):557-575, 2008.
- Vivek T. Ramamoorthy, Ender Özcan, Andrew J. Parkes, 865 Abhilash Sreekumar, Luc Jaouen, and François-Xavier 866 Bécot. Comparison of gradient-based and gradient-free 867 heuristics and metaheuristics for topology optimisation 868 in acoustic porous materials. The Journal of the Acous-869 tical Society of America, 150(4):3164-3176, 2021. 870
- ¹⁰Jin Woo Lee and Yoon Young Kim. Topology optimiza-871 tion of muffler internal partitions for improving acousti-872 cal attenuation performance. International journal for 873 numerical methods in engineering, 80(4):455–477, 2009. 874
- Gil Ho Yoon. Acoustic topology optimization of fibrous material with Delany-Bazley empirical material formula-876 tion. Journal of Sound and Vibration, 332(5):1172-1187, 877 2013.878
- $^{12}\operatorname{Joong}$ Seok Lee, Peter Göransson, and Yoon Young Kim. 879 Topology optimization for three-phase materials distribu-880 tion in a dissipative expansion chamber by unified multi-881 phase modeling approach. Computer Methods in Applied 882 Mechanics and Engineering, 287:191–211, 2015. 883
- ¹³Esubalewe Lakie Yedeg, Eddie Wadbro, and Martin 001 Berggren. Interior layout topology optimization of a reac-885 tive muffler. Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimiza-886

tion, 53(4):645-656, 2016. 887

- $^{14} \mathrm{Junghwan}$ Kook, Kunmo Koo, Jaeyub Hyun, Jakob S 888
- Jensen, and Semyung Wang. Acoustical topology op-889
- timization for Zwicker's loudness model-application to 890 noise barriers. Computer methods in applied mechanics 891
- and engineering, 237:130-151, 2012. 892
- ¹⁵Ki Hyun Kim and Gil Ho Yoon. Optimal rigid and 893 porous material distributions for noise barrier by acoustic
- 894 895 topology optimization. Journal of Sound and Vibration,
- 339:123-142, 2015. 896 ³Leilei Chen, Cheng Liu, Wenchang Zhao, and Linchao
- 897 Liu. An isogeometric approach of two dimensional acous-898
- tic design sensitivity analysis and topology optimization 899
- analysis for absorbing material distribution. Computer 900
- 901 Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 336:507-
- 902 532, 2018.
- 1 Zi-xiang Xu, Hao Gao, Yu-jiang Ding, Jing Yang, Bin 973 903
- Liang, and Jian-chun Cheng. Topology-optimized omni-904
- directional broadband acoustic ventilation barrier. Phys-905
- ical Review Applied, 14(5):054016, 2020. 906
- ¹⁸Yanming Xu, Wenchang Zhao, Leilei Chen, and Haibo 977 907
- Chen. Distribution optimization for acoustic design of 908
- porous layer by the boundary element method. Acoustics 979 909 Australia, pages 107-119, 2020. 910
- ¹⁹Hans A Eschenauer and Niels Olhoff. Topology optimiza- 981 911 tion of continuum structures: a review. Appl. Mech. Rev., 912
- 54(4):331-390, 2001.913 2
- George IN Rozvany and Tomasz Lewiński. Topology914
- optimization in structural and continuum mechanics. 915 Springer, 2014. 916
- ²¹Jikai Liu, Andrew T Gavnor, Shikui Chen, Zhan Kang, 917 Krishnan Suresh, Akihiro Takezawa, Lei Li, Junji Kato, 918
- Jinyuan Tang, Charlie CL Wang, et al. Current and fu-919
- ture trends in topology optimization for additive manu-920
- facturing. Structural and multidisciplinary optimization, 991 921 57(6):2457-2483, 2018. 922
- $^2 {\rm Ole}$ Sigmund. A 99 line topology optimization code writ-923
- 924 ten in Matlab. Structural and multidisciplinary optimiza-
- tion, 21(2):120-127, 2001. 925
- ²³Krishnan Suresh. A 199-line Matlab code for pareto-926 optimal tracing in topology optimization. Structural and 927 Multidisciplinary Optimization, 42(5):665–679, 2010. 928
- ²⁴Amir M Mirzendehdel and Krishnan Suresh. A pareto-929 930
- optimal approach to multimaterial topology optimization. Journal of Mechanical Design, 137(10), 2015. 931
- $^{25}\mathrm{Yi}$ M Xie and Grant P Steven. A simple evolutionary pro-932
- 933 cedure for structural optimization. Computers & struc-
- tures, 49(5):885-896, 1993. 934
- ²⁶YM Xie and GP Steven. Evolutionary structural opti-935 mization for dynamic problems. Computers & Structures, 936 58(6):1067-1073, 1996. 937
- ²⁷Refail Kasimbeyli, Zehra Kamisli Ozturk, Nergiz Kasim-938
- beyli, Gulcin Dinc Yalcin, and Banu Icmen Erdem. Com-939
- parison of some scalarization methods in multiobjective 940
- optimization. Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical 941 Sciences Society, 42(5):1875-1905, 2019.
- 942
- ⁸Kalyanmoy Deb, Samir Agrawal, Amrit Pratap, and 943 Tanaka Meyarivan. A fast elitist non-dominated sort-944
- ing genetic algorithm for multi-objective optimization: 945
- NSGA-II. In International conference on parallel prob-946
- lem solving from nature, pages 849–858. Springer, 2000. 947
- ²⁹Shanu Verma, Millie Pant, and Vaclav Snasel. A compre-948
- hensive review on nsga-ii for multi-objective combinato-949 rial optimization problems. Ieee Access, 9:57757-57791, 950
- 951 2021
- ⁰Weidong Liu, Hua Zhu, Yiping Wang, Shengqiang Zhou, 952
- Yalei Bai, and Chunsheng Zhao. Topology optimization 953
- of support structure of telescope skin based on bit-matrix 954
- representation nsga-ii. Chinese Journal of Aeronautics, 955
- 26(6):1422-1429, 2013. 956

- ³¹Xu Guo, Weisheng Zhang, and Wenliang Zhong. Doing topology optimization explicitly and geometrically-a 958 new moving morphable components based framework. 959 Journal of Applied Mechanics, 81(8), 2014. 960
- $^{32}\mathrm{Grégoire}$ Allaire, François Jouve, and Anca-Maria 961 A level-set method for shape optimiza-962 Toader. tion. Comptes Rendus Mathematique, 334(12):1125-963 1130, 2002. 964
- $^{33}\mathrm{Michael}$ Yu Wang, Xiaoming Wang, and Dongming Guo. 965 A level set method for structural topology optimization. 966 Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering, 967 192(1-2):227-246, 2003. 968
- ³⁴Noureddine Atalla, Raymond Panneton, and Patricia De-060 bergue. A mixed displacement-pressure formulation for 970 971 poroelastic materials. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 104(3):1444-1452, 1998. 972
- ³⁵David Linton Johnson, Joel Koplik, and Roger Dashen. Theory of dynamic permeability and tortuosity in fluid-974 saturated porous media. Journal of fluid mechanics, 975 176:379-402, 1987.
- $^{36}\mathrm{Yvan}$ Champoux and Jean-F Allard. Dynamic tortuosity and bulk modulus in air-saturated porous media. Journal 978 of applied physics, 70(4):1975–1979, 1991.
- ³⁷Denis Lafarge, Pavel Lemarinier, Jean F Allard, and 980 Viggo Tarnow. Dynamic compressibility of air in porous structures at audible frequencies. The Journal of the 982 Acoustical Society of America, 102(4):1995–2006, 1997. 983
- ³⁸Erik Andreassen, Anders Clausen, Mattias Schevenels, 984 Boyan S Lazarov, and Ole Sigmund. Efficient topol-985 ogy optimization in MATLAB using 88 lines of code. 986 Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization, 43(1):1-987 988 16, 2011.
- ³⁹Ole Sigmund. Morphology-based black and white fil-989 ters for topology optimization. Structural and Multidis-990 ciplinary Optimization, 33(4-5):401-424, 2007.